

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: M. FRIDKIN et al.)	ATTY'S DKT:	FRIDKIN1
	j	Art Unit: 16	552
)		
Appln. No.: 09/117,380)		
)	Washington, I	o.c.
Filed: January 27, 1999)		
)	April 13, 200	04
For: ANTI-INFLAMMATORY)		
PEPTIDES)		

PETITION TO VACATE HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT¹

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Customer Window, Mail Stop Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, Virginia 22202

Sir:

Applicant is in receipt of the Notice of

Abandonment, mailed March 24, 2004, which erroneously states

that the application is abandoned because of applicant's

failure to file a response within the time period established

by the Office Action mailed July 29, 2003.

It is respectfully requested that such Notice of
Abandonment be vacated as being erroneous and that the present
application be reinstated.

If a fee must be charged, please charge same to Deposit Account No. 02-4035, and then refund said fee as the holding of abandonment is erroneous and is entirely the fault of the PTO.

In re appln. no. 09/117,380 Page 2
April 13, 2004

THE FACTS

Applicant timely and properly responded within the time period established by the Office Action dated by timely filing a Response on October 29, 2003.

As evidence that such Response was timely and properly filed on October 29, 2003, attached hereto is a xerographic copy of the return postcard date-stamped by the PTO Mail Room as having been timely received by the PTO on October 29, 2003.

As it appears that the Response filed on October 29, 2003, has been lost by and in the PTO, attached hereto is a duplicate signed copy of the Response (entitled "Amendment") dated October 29, 2003, and related papers. However, as the original response was timely filed (no extensions), no further fees are required at this time.

REMARKS

In view of the above evidence, it is clear that a Reply was timely and properly filed within the time period established by the Office Action mailed on July 29, 2003, and that the Notice of Abandonment has been issued in error. As MPEP Section 503 states:

A postcard receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the papers which are being filed serves as prima facie evidence of receipt of the PTO of all In re appln. no. 09/117,380° Page 3
April 13, 2004

items listed there on the date stamped thereon by the PTO.

It accordingly requested that the Notice of Abandonment be vacated and the present application be reinstated.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

Ву

Roger L. Browdy

Registration No. 25,61

RLB:edg

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\Y\YEDA\Fridkin1\pto\patent erroneous abandonment.doc



,

APPLICATION/PATENT NO: 09/1/7,380 DOCKET NO: PRIDEIN=1	CONF. NO.: 3826 CLIENT CODE: YEDA
THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE STAMP HEREON ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF THE FOLLOWING PAPERS:	OIPE
FEES \$ CH. # PTO FORM 2038	DCT 2 9 2003 ASSIGNMENT INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FORM SB08A & PATENTS/PUBS PRIORITY DOCUMENT(S) NO. DECLARATION UNDER § LETTER TO DRAFTSMAN
☐ NOTICE OF APPEAL ☐ APPEAL BRIEF (TRIPLICATE) ☐ REPLY BRIEF (TRIPLICATE) ☐ OTHER	☐SHEETS OF DRAWINGS ☐ ISSUE FEE TRANSMITTAL FORM ☐ MAINTENANCE FEE LETTER
EBN-1	

í